

**REMARKS**

Applicant thanks the Examiner for continued examination and consideration of the present application.

The Examiner has objected to the title as not being descriptive. Accordingly, the a new title descriptive of the invention has been provided.


The Examiner has objected to the specification as containing non-idiomatic English. Applicant has reviewed the specification but fails to appreciate the basis of the Examiner's objection. As such, Applicant request the Examiner point to the specific instances in the specification where non-idiomatic English is used or withdraw the objection.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), but has indicated that claims 2-3 and 5-9 would be allowable if rewritten as independent form and to include all intervening limitations. Accordingly, claims 1 and 4 have been canceled and claim 2 has been rewritten in independent form including all intervening limitations. All other pending now depend directly or indirectly on claim 2 or on claims the Examiner has indicated would be allowable.

Appl. No: 10/715,771  
Amendment dated Sept. 20, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of August 11, 2004

It is believed that all pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized 'A' followed by a horizontal line extending to the right.

Arthur I. Navarro  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 40,744

Navarro Law Office, P.C.  
PO Box 166851  
Irving, TX 75016  
[art-navarro@verizon.net](mailto:art-navarro@verizon.net)  
(972) 659-1275 - tel/fax